The Advanced Scintillator Compton Telescope (ASCOT)

Motivation, Balloon Flight, and Future Directions

Peter F. Bloser

14 February, 2019
12th INTEGRAL Conference
1st AHEAD Gamma-Ray Workshop
Collaborators

The work described in this talk was performed at the University of New Hampshire’s Space Science Center, where I was on the Research Faculty until moving to LANL in December. My collaborators were:

Tejaswita Sharma
Jason Legere
Chris Bancroft
Colin Frost
Alex Wright
John Gaidos
Mark Widholm
Mark McConnell
James Ryan

And the rest of the UNH SSC technical and administrative staff
MOTIVATION
COMPTEL was the first, and still only, Compton Telescope to fly in space with sufficient sensitivity to perform astronomical observations in the MeV band.
COMPTEL and its Background

D1: organic liquid scintillator
D2: inorganic NaI scintillator
Photomultiplier Tube (PMT) readout
Measured \( E_1, E_2, \) Positions, PSD, *Time-of-Flight*

COMPTEL suffered intense background from particle interactions:

COMPTEL Background Rejection: ToF

ToF was *critical* to COMPTEL’s sensitivity:

- Improved ToF resolution will greatly reduce background in a straightforward manner.


---

**Improved ToF resolution will greatly reduce background in straightforward manner**
ASCOT Motivation

• The ASCOT project is motivated by the theory that the most cost-effective, low-risk way to implement an advanced, general-purpose Compton telescope is to build directly on the experience of COMPTEL.

• A advanced, scintillator-based Compton telescope would use modern detector materials to improve efficiency, energy resolution, and time-of-flight (ToF) resolution for background rejection.

• It would also use advanced light readout devices, such as silicon photomultipliers (SiPMs), to reduce passive mass, volume, and power.

• Project Goal: Demonstrate technology by imaging Crab Nebula during 1-day balloon flight.
ASCOT INSTRUMENT
ASCOT Instrument Overview

- Instrument concept: basic “module” with 8 × 8 scintillator array optically coupled to a 8 × 8 SiPM array
- Each scintillator 15 × 15 × 25 mm³
- Each scintillator read out by 2 × 2 SiPM array
- Detector layers each 2 × 2 array of modules
- Two D1 layers, one D2 layer (cost)
ASCOT D1: P-terphenyl

- Scintillator elements purchased from Proteus, Inc., and screened by UNH undergraduate Alex Wright
- Elements assembled into 8 × 8 module arrays inside Delrin housings
- Separated by slats of white Gigahertz Optik material

P-terphenyl for D1
- Low Z
- Fast Decay Time
- High light output
- Mechanically robust
ASCOT D2: CeBr₃

- 8 × 8 vacuum-rated arrays provided by BNC/Scionix
- Al housing with common optical window for all pixels – causes some optical cross talk, light loss

CeBr₃ for D2
- High stopping power
- Fast timing
- Superior energy resolution
SiPM Readout

• Each scintillator element read out with 2 × 2 array of SensL MicroFC-60035-SMT 6-mm SiPMs
• SiPMs mounted on custom “strip” boards by IMS Corp (Manchester, NM): one strip per module row
• Strips plugged into module array board: FEE and bias voltage (~29 V) with passive temperature-based gain correction
D1 Module Performance

- 60 keV photopeak ~30% (FWHM) energy resolution
- Trigger threshold ~35 – 40 keV

- Pulse-shape discrimination (PSD) vs. pulse height
- Measured using AmBe source
- Can discriminate gamma-ray vs. neutron scatters
D2 Module Performance

- 662 keV photopeak ~6% (FWHM) energy resolution
- Trigger threshold set at ~200 keV
Time of Flight

- Measured ToF resolution between D1 & D2 pixel pairs using $^{60}\text{Co}$
- We see some PH-dependent walk in timing – fit and correct
- Timing resolution vs. energy measured in one detector by keeping other detector energy fixed
- For D1, resolution worsens above ~500 keV due to cross-talk between rows (timing dominated by low-light row)
- For ~300 keV in D1 & ~1400 keV in D2, get ~480 ps (FWHM)
ASCOT Balloon Instrument

- Detector modules and VETO panels
- Electronics boards
- Flight computer
- Regulators, relay board, and science stack
ASCOT Instrument Assembly

Instrument support structure made of plastic to reduce activation
ASCOT Anti-Coincidence Panels

• Anti-panels surround detector modules on six sides to reject charge particle events
• 5-mm thick plastic sheets held in Delrin frames
• Read out by 6-mm SensL SiPMs on four corners
ASCOT Tagged Source

- A tagged source consisting of plastic scintillator infused with $^{60}$Co (< 50 nCi) is located between the four D2 modules
- Light read out by another SensL SiPM
- Provided in-flight calibration
ASCOT Electronics

- Electronics box contains “logic board” for each module
- ToF board records timing
- Module interface board (MIB) contains FPGA for data acquisition
- Fitlet-H computer controls instrument, records data onboard, and sends to telemetry
- Relay board, controlled by science stack, interfaces batteries to regulators
- ADU5 GPS controller
ASCOT Payload

- Based on GRAPE payload (2011 & 2014)
- Instrument held in gondola frame made of 8020 extruded aluminum
- Batteries and GMoDem piggyback payload mounted on platform
- Mini-SIP mounted below
- ADU5 GPS provides attitude knowledge (no pointing)
ASCOT BALLOON FLIGHT
ASCOT Balloon Campaign

• Arrived at NASA/CSBF in Palestine, TX, on June 3, 2018
• Declared flight ready June 14
• Weeks of poor weather…
Imaging Tests

• While in the hangar, suspended $^{60}\text{Co}$ source above instrument using crane
• Recorded data with source in three different locations
Imaging Tests

UNH energy calibration needs to be corrected using tagged source
ASCOT Balloon Launch

Launch attempts June 30, July 1, 2, 3
Finally got perfect conditions on July 5
ASCOT Balloon Launch

Launched on July 5th at 7:12 AM CDT
ASCOT Balloon Flight

• Flight achieved float altitude of 119,000 – 123,000 feet
• Stayed at float for five hours while Crab was high in the sky
• Payload flew west at 50 – 75 kts, terminated in West Texas near Pecos
• Flight data and payload recovered with no issues
ASCOT Flight Data - Preliminary

Early indications are that all detectors functioned well:

Tagged events show that the gain was ~stable:
FUTURE DIRECTIONS
Simulation of Potential Explorer Mission

A few years ago, made a first stab at estimating performance of MIDEX:

- Explorer-sized instrument concept
- Three D1 layers and three D2 layers, 50 cm separation
- Estimate $120 \times 120 \times 100$ cm$^3$ instrument, ~1000 kg payload
- Simulate response and background
- ~8× better continuum sensitivity than COMPTEL
Diamond Detectors for D1

- Limiting factor currently is D1 organic scintillator: low light output causes poor energy and ToF resolution at low energy
- Low density requires large volumes for good efficiency
- Possible solution? **Single Crystal Diamond Detectors**
  - Almost pure carbon, 3.5 g/cm³, good position and energy resolution, very fast timing, radiation hard, light and temperature insensitive
  - Currently very small (< 1 cm²) and expensive
  - Have recently begun APRA project (collaboration with SwRI) to study SCDD performance as Compton scattering elements for Compton telescopes
  - If performance is a good as hoped, **and if can be made larger/cheaper**, could enable even smaller MeV gamma-ray missions (SmallSats??)
Conclusions

• The recent ASCOT balloon flight has demonstrated the stable operation of scintillator/SiPM detectors in a near-space environment
• Flight data analysis has just begun – expect to image Crab Nebula ~0.2 – 2 MeV
• New detector technologies offer the potential for smaller-scale missions that will still accomplish exciting new science
• We may yet see medium-energy gamma-ray astronomy move forward in our lifetimes!